

From: [Holly Erdman](#)
To: [Dusty Pilkington](#)
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison
Date: Monday, May 21, 2018 4:08:51 PM

OK. Thanks, I'll look at that before you ask for another site plan.

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 3:29 PM
To: Holly Erdman
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Holly,

When you search in GIS and on COMPAS, the 1 acre parcel and the other parcel have the same boundaries, suggesting that they were segregated for tax/mortgage purposes, and the two parcels are treated as the same lot in terms of land use, so I did not ask for a revision. I can ask for a revised site plan if necessary.

Dusty

From: Holly Erdman
Sent: Monday, May 21, 2018 1:35 PM
To: Dusty Pilkington
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Dusty,

When I look at page 12, I don't see the 1 acre piece identified. The new acreage of one piece is shown and the existing acreage of another piece is shown. Is there a different drawing available?

Holly

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Holly Erdman; Taylor Gustafson; Eric Trygstad; Candie Leader; 'office@kcf7.com'; Tristen Lamb
Cc: Lindsey Ozbolt
Subject: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Eric, Taylor, Holly, and other interested parties,

Please review this Boundary Line Adjustment (BLA) application, BL-18-00006 Garrison, and submit comments for the decision. Control Click on the hyperlinks below. Comment period for this application ends on **05/31/2018**.

From: [Holly Erdman](#)
To: [Dusty Pilkington](#)
Cc: [Tristen Lamb](#)
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison
Date: Friday, May 25, 2018 10:54:10 AM

Thank you, I appreciate any additional information that can be provided.

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Friday, May 25, 2018 10:45 AM
To: Holly Erdman
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Holly,

I can ask for a revised site plan, and for clarification.

Thanks,

Dusty

From: Holly Erdman
Sent: Friday, May 25, 2018 10:16 AM
To: Dusty Pilkington
Cc: Tristen Lamb
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Dusty,

In the project narrative of this boundary line adjustment application it is stated, "Sewage is disposed of via existing septic tanks and drainfields." Buildings are shown on the site plan but no existing septic systems are shown. Are there any that should be? Are there any existing wells that should be shown?

Thank you in advance.

Holly

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Holly Erdman; Taylor Gustafson; Eric Trygstad; Candie Leader; 'office@kcf7.com'; Tristen Lamb
Cc: Lindsey Ozbolt
Subject: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Eric, Taylor, Holly, and other interested parties,

From: [Holly Erdman](#)
To: [Dusty Pilkington](#)
Cc: [Tristen Lamb](#)
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 2:43:51 PM

Dusty,

OK. Thank you. We need the septic system located on the drawing or on a site plan. Our public health records and as-builts often don't show the entire site plan very well, making it difficult to determine the complete layout of everything. It is best if we just have system located on the drawing the applicant submits.

Thank you again for your understanding on this.

Holly

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 1:05 PM
To: Holly Erdman
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Holly,

I forwarded the applicant's response, where he clarifies that the property is on the Sky Meadows water system, and states that the septic system is constructed with a County Permit that should be on file. I understand that regardless of whether we have the permit on file, we still need it on the site plan, but I wanted to confer with you before I responded to the applicant.

Thanks,

Dusty

From: Holly Erdman
Sent: Wednesday, May 30, 2018 12:06 PM
To: Dusty Pilkington
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison

Hello Dusty,

Your deadline is tomorrow and I was wondering if you were able to get another site plan.

Holly

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2018 9:52 AM
To: Holly Erdman; Taylor Gustafson; Eric Trygstad; Candie Leader; 'office@kcf7.com'; Tristen Lamb
Cc: Lindsey Ozbolt
Subject: BL-18-00006 Garrison

From: [Holly Erdman](#)
To: [Dusty Pilkington](#)
Cc: [Tristen Lamb](#)
Subject: RE: BL-18-00006 Garrison Revised Site Plan Needed
Date: Thursday, May 31, 2018 11:30:33 AM

Dusty,

This response from the applicant is insufficient. I need an adequate site plan showing the location of the drainfield and septic tank. The applicant states, "The tank is about 50 feet west and south of my building," but which of the two buildings is he referring to? He also states that "T" posts mark the ends of the drainfield lines. Does this mean we are supposed to make a field visit to gain information that should have been provided?

Additionally, in the project narrative under water source it says "unknown". Knowing that the lot was served by the Sky Meadows water system would have been helpful information to have during the original review of the project.

I can't provide any further comment on this project.

Holly

From: Dusty Pilkington
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 5:02 PM
To: Holly Erdman
Subject: FW: BL-18-00006 Garrison Revised Site Plan Needed

Find the applicant's response below.

Thanks,

Dusty

From: Dave's Email [mailto:bowhunterdave@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, May 29, 2018 4:54 PM
To: Dusty Pilkington
Subject: Re: BL-18-00006 Garrison Revised Site Plan Needed

There is no well. We are on Sky Meadows water system. The septic system was constructed with a county permit and there should be a plan on file. Our project engineer is out until next week. The septic tank is about 50 feet west and south of my building. The ends of the drain field are marked with steel T-posts.

Sent from my iPhone

On May 29, 2018, at 9:51 AM, Dusty Pilkington <dusty.pilkington@co.kittitas.wa.us> wrote:

Mr. Garrison,